1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8	QUINN EMANUEL URQUHART & SULLIVA Charles K. Verhoeven (Bar No. 170151) charlesverhoeven@quinnemanuel.com David A. Perlson (Bar No. 209502) davidperlson@quinnemanuel.com Melissa Baily (Bar No. 237649) melissabaily@quinnemanuel.com John Neukom (Bar No. 275887) johnneukom@quinnemanuel.com Jordan Jaffe (Bar No. 254886) jordanjaffe@quinnemanuel.com 50 California Street, 22 <sup>nd</sup> Floor San Francisco, California 94111-4788 Telephone: (415) 875-6600 Facsimile: (415) 875-6700	AN, LLP
9	Attorneys for WAYMO LLC	
10	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
11	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA, SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION	
12	WAYMO LLC,	CASE NO. 3:17-cv-00939-WHA
13	Plaintiff,	PLAINTIFF WAYMO LLC'S ADMINISTRATIVE MOTION TO FILE
14	VS.	UNDER SEAL PORTIONS OF EXHIBIT A TO NOTICE ATTACHING EXHIBITS
15	UBER TECHNOLOGIES, INC.; OTTOMOTTO LLC; OTTO TRUCKING	FROM AUGUST 23, 2017 HEARING
16	LLC,	
17	Defendants.	
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		
01980-00104/9528111.1		CASE No. 3:17-cv-00939-WF

CASE No. 3:17-cv-00939-WHA

WAYMO'S ADMINISTRATIVE MOTION TO SEAL

Pursuant to Civil L.R. 7-11 and 79-5, Plaintiff Waymo LLC ("Waymo") respectfully requests to file under seal portions of its Exhibit A to Notice Attaching Exhibits from August 23, 2017 Hearing ("Exhibit A"). Specifically, Waymo requests an order granting leave to file under seal the portions of the documents as listed below:

## Document Portions to Be Filed Under Seal Exhibit A Portions marked in red box Box Designating Party Waymo

## I. <u>LEGAL STANDARD</u>

Civil Local Rule 79-5 requires that a party seeking sealing "establish[] that the document, or portions thereof, are privileged, protectable as a trade secret or otherwise entitled to protection under the law" (*i.e.*, is "sealable"). Civil L.R. 79-5(b). The sealing request must also "be narrowly tailored to seek sealing only of sealable material." *Id.* In the context of non-dispositive motions, materials may be sealed so long as the party seeking sealing makes a "particularized showing" under the "good cause" standard of Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 26(c). *Kamakana v. City & Cnty. of Honolulu*, 447 F.3d 1172, 1180 (9th Cir. 2006) (quoting *Foltz v. State Farm Mutual Auto Insurance Co.*, 331 F.3d 1122, 1135, 1138 (9th Cir. 2003)).

THE COURT SHOULD SEAL WAYMO'S CONFIDENTIAL INFORMATION

## 17 II.

## The Court should seal the portions of Exhibit A identified by Waymo in the table above. Waymo seeks to file this information under seal because it discloses or tends to disclose Waymo's trade secrets. *See* Declaration of Felipe Corredor ("Corredor Decl.") ¶¶ 3-5. Courts have determined that trade secret information merits sealing. *Music Grp. Macao Commercial Offshore Ltd. v. Foote*, No. 14-cv-03078, 2015 WL 3993147, at \*1 (N.D. Cal. June 30, 2015) (quoting *Kamakana*, 447 F.3d at 1179); *see also Brocade Commc'ns Sys., Inc. v. A10 Networks, Inc.*, No. C 10-3428, 2013 WL 211115, at \*1, \*3 (N.D. Cal. Jan. 17, 2013) (granting request to seal document that "consists entirely of descriptions of Brocade's trade secrets"). Confidential business information that, if released, may "harm a litigant's competitive standing" also merits sealing. *See Nixon v. Warner Commc'ns, Inc.*, 435 U.S. 589, 598-99 (1978). Waymo seeks to seal trade secrets that fit squarely within these

categories. Corredor Decl. ¶¶ 3-5. Waymo maintains this information as a trade secret (see Dkt. 25-31) and ensures the information remains secret with strict secrecy and security protocols (see Dkt. 25-47; Dkt. 25-49.). See Corredor Decl. ¶ 4. Waymo has narrowly tailored its requests to only information meriting sealing. *Id.* ¶ 5. In fact, both *Music Group* and *Brocade* found the confidential information at issue in those cases met the heightened "compelling reasons" standard for sealing. Music Grp., 2015 WL 3993147, at \*1; Brocade, 2013 WL 211115, at \*1, \*3. The information that Waymo seeks to seal, therefore, also meets this heightened standard. The disclosure of Waymo's trade secret information would harm Waymo. Corredor Decl. ¶ 4. Moreover, the scope of information that Waymo is seeking to seal is consistent with other administrative motions to seal that have already been granted by the Court in this case. (See, e.g., Dkt. 416, 414, 406, 393, 392.) Thus, the Court should grant Waymo's administrative motion to seal. III. CONCLUSION In compliance with Civil Local Rule 79-5(d), redacted and unredacted versions of the above listed documents accompany this Administrative Motion. For the foregoing reasons, Waymo respectfully requests that the Court grant Waymo's administrative motion to file under seal.

17

18

19

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

DATED: September 1, 2017

QUINN EMANUEL URQUHART & SULLIVAN, LLP

By /s/ Charles Verhoeven

Charles Verhoeven

Attorneys for WAYMO LLC

20

21

22

23 24

25

26

27

28

CASE No. 3:17-cv-00939-WHA